

## A Case For The Flying Saucer

It is to be hoped that this article will stimulate thought and active intelligent discussion of this highly controversial, yet absorbing subject.

"Flying Saucers" have been discredited by a large number of people for a large number of reasons, some of which are valid in certain respects, the rest invalid. These people hold the opinion that all sightings of the U.F.O.'s (unidentified flying objects), were due to mirages, weather balloons, cloud effects, reflected lights and so on. This is undoubtedly true in a number of cases, but it needs only one of the reported sightings to be true, for the truth of flying saucers to be established. It is inconceivable, that out of the millions of reports that have been made since 2000BC, by people from the highest to the lowest walks in life, not one is true.

These people go on to flatly deny the authenticity of the photographs which have been taken of the U.F.O.'s. However Cecil B. De Mille's expert on trick photography has pronounced that those shown to him were authentic or better than the best fakes he has ever seen.

Perhaps the most ludicrous objection against flying saucers is that "they cannot come from any other planet in the solar system, and people from outer space — dishwater!" Fred Hoyle has calculated (from the assessed frequency of safer novae explosions) that there are 10-14 planets in the observable universe with an ecology similar to the earth. Now it is unreasonable to assume that the processes which brought about our existence on earth should not have operated on a large number of these other planets? It would be incredible if our science was the furthest advanced of all planets inhabited by intelligent life. Why should not have some of these peoples, who are perhaps millennia ahead of us, developed efficient space (and time?) travel devices? Flying Saucers!

The objection that these craft cannot have originated from other planets is

have originated from other planets in our own system, is similarly inadmissible.

Must all intelligent life be of the same nature as our own?

If the physical conditions which obtained during the development of the earth to its present state, had been different so would we have been physically different to accommodate these altered conditions. The different physical conditions existing on the other planets would ne-

---

cessitate an altogether different being (from us) to inhabit it. So why can't there be intelligent life on Pluto, Mars, Mercury, etc., and why can't these people have developed a better science than ours (which you must admit is pretty poor).

Probably the best practical evidence for the truth of a large number of sightings of flying saucers, lies in two emergent facts.

1. Accounts of independent observers of the same object have tallied closely in details of motion and construction and size.
2. On a large number of occasions sightings have been made by a *group* of sober, mentally stable people.

These are the facts which are available for persual in the many books which have been written both for and against the

have been written both for and against the phenomena. Probably the best authors for introductory reading are Adamski, Heard, Keyhole, Menzell and Wilkins.

Whether you believe or discredit, does not in any way alter the facts which have been presented. Menzell says "NO." Adamski says "YES — I have met the space men, travelled in the craft." You must decide for yourself whether or not the facts are conclusive.

Come in Critics !

**NOEL KENNON**

---